

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,953	09/17/2001	Junzo Sunamoto	Yanagihara Case 62	4435
7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Flynn Thiel Boutell & Tanis 2026 Rambling Road			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
		DATE MAIL ED: 07/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/936,953	SUNAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Lauren Q Wells	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u>pril 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 8-9 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4,5,8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	A) The land and a control of	immon, (PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/936,953

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 4-5, 8-9 are pending. The Amendment filed 1/26/04 has been entered, wherein claim 1 was amended and claim 7 was cancelled.

The Appeal Brief filed 4/23/04, is acknowledged. However, PROSECUTION IS

HEREBY REOPENED in light of the new art found which reads on the instant invention. Thus,
to avoid abandonment of the application, Applicant is respectfully requested to file a reply under

37 CFR 1.11 since this is a non-final Office Action.

The Amendment to the claims filed 1/26/04 and the teachings of the art, wherein pullulan-cholesterol derivatives are well established (see for example the primary reference relied upon below), are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection in the previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-5, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sunamoto et al. (English Translation of JP 03-292301).

Sunamoto et al. disclose polysaccharide-sterol derivatives. Exemplified is an emulsion comprising 10mg oil (glycerol tricaprylate, a cosmetic component), 5mg pullan-cholesterol derivative, and 1mL water (a cosmetic component), a percent weight of 0.5% pullan cholesterol derivative and 95.5% cosmetic components (oil and water), see page 14. For the pullan-

Application/Control Number: 09/936,953

Art Unit: 1617

cholesterol derivative having the structure of formula (1) of the instant claims, see page 2, claim

1. For 0.1-6 units per 100 monosaccharide units, see page 2, claim 1.

It is respectfully pointed out that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Thus, the intended uses of claims 8 and 9 are not afforded patentable weight.

It is further respectfully pointed out that the recitation "cosmetic" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is 571-272-0634. The examiner can normally be reached on M&R (5:30-4).

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lqw

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4